Order

Michigan Supreme Court Lansing, Michigan

January 9, 2007

ADM File No. 2006-45

Proposed Amendment of Rule 5.307 of the Michigan Court Rules Clifford W. Taylor, Chief Justice

Michael F. Cavanagh Elizabeth A. Weaver Marilyn Kelly Maura D. Corrigan Robert P. Young, Jr. Stephen J. Markman, Justices

On order of the Court, this is to advise that the Court is considering amending Rule 5.307 of the Michigan Court Rules. Before determining whether the proposal should be adopted, changed before adoption, or rejected, this notice is given to afford interested persons the opportunity to comment on the form or the merits of the proposal or to suggest alternatives. The Court welcomes the views of all. This matter will be considered at a public hearing. The notices and agendas for public hearings are posted on the Court's website at www.courts.michigan.gov/supremecourt.

Publication of this proposal does not mean that the Court will issue an order on the subject, nor does it imply probable adoption of the proposal in its present form.

[The present language would be amended as indicated below by strikeouts.]

Rule 5.307 Requirements Applicable to all Decedent Estates

(A) Inventory Fee. Within 91 days of the date of the letters of authority, the personal representative must submit to the court the information necessary for computation of the probate inventory fee. In calculating the inventory fee, deductions shall be allowed for secured loans on property listed on the_inventory, but no other deductions shall be allowed. The inventory fee must be paid no later than the filing of the petition for an order of complete estate settlement under MCL 700.3952, the petition for settlement order under MCL 700.3953, or the sworn statement under MCL 700.3954, or one year after appointment, whichever is earlier.

(B)-(D)[Unchanged.]

Staff Comment: The proposed amendment of MCR 5.307 would eliminate the ability to reduce the value of property by the amount of secured loans for purposes of determining the inventory fee. The proposed amendment would conform the court rule to the requirement for setting the inventory fee in § 871 of the Revised Judicature Act, MCL 600.871, as expressed in Wolfe-Hadad Estate v Oakland Co, 272 Mich App 323 (2006).

The staff comment is published only for the benefit of the bench and bar and is not an authoritative construction by the Court.

A copy of this order will be given to the Secretary of the State Bar and to the State Court Administrator so that they can make the notifications specified in MCR 1.201. Comments on these proposals may be sent to the Supreme Court Clerk in writing or electronically by May 1, 2007, at P. O. Box 30052, Lansing, MI 48909, or MSC clerk@courts.mi.gov. When filing a comment, please refer to ADM File No. 2006-45. Your comments and the comments of others will be posted at www.courts.mi.gov/supremecourt/resources/administrative/index.htm.



I, Corbin R. Davis, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

January 9, 2007

Callin a. Danis
Clerk